From the REC'T PCT/PTO 25 JAN 2005 INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

NOV 17 2004

RECEIVED

LEE, Brian Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP 1055 Dunsmuir Street, Suite 2300 Vancouver, British Columbia V7X 1J1 CANADA

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT** 

(PCT Rule 71.1)

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

11.11.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

international application No.

PCT/CA 03/01118

V80029WO

International filing date (day/month/year)

24.07.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

25.07.2002

Applicant

ALBERTA RESEARCH COUNCIL INC. et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

**European Patent Office** D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

**Authorized Officer** 

Taylor, K

Tel +49 89 2399-6173



(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference V80029WO				FOR FURTHER A	CTION		n of Transmittal of International amination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
b	rnation T/CA		lcation No. 118	International filing date 24.07.2003	(day/moni	lh/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.07.2002	
	mation 1M8/0		ent Classification (IPC) or	l both national classification	and IPC			
	licant 3ERT	A RE	SEARCH COUNCIL	INC. et al.				
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36							
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet							
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
	ı	$\boxtimes$	Basis of the opinion					
	П		Priority					
	111		Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to r	novelty, in	ventive step a	nd industrial applicability	
	IV	$\boxtimes$	Lack of unity of inven	tion				
	V   Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						ventive step or industrial applicability;	
	VI		Certain documents ci	ted				
	VII   Certain defects in the international application							
	VIIICertain observations on the international application							
Date	of sub	missio	on of the demand		Date of	completion of th	is report	
20.0	20.02.2004				11.11.2004			
	Name and mailing address of the international				Authoria	zed Officer	interes	
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				656 epmu d	1	aller, J-M one No. +49 89 2		

International application No.

PCT/CA 03/01118

<ol> <li>Basis of the re</li> </ol>	DC	ort
-------------------------------------	----	-----

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	Description, Pages							
./	1-19	e	as originally filed						
	Clas	Claims, Numbers							
		•							
\_\^	1-36	5	as originally filed						
	Drawings, Sheets								
,	1/7-	7/7	as originally filed						
2.	With	Vith regard to the <b>language</b> , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in tanguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
	$\square$ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under								
		the language of publi	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).						
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).						
3.	With inte	Vith regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the nternational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
		contained in the international application in written form.							
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
		☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.							
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.								
		in the international application as filed has been furnished.							
4.	The	he amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:							
		the description,	pages:						
		the claims,	Nos.:						
		the drawings,	sheets:						

International application No.

PCT/CA 03/01118

5		This report has been establi been considered to go beyo	shed as nd the di	if (some of) sclosure as	the amendm filed (Rule 7	ents had not been 0.2(c)).	made, since th	ney have
		(Any replacement sheet correport.)	ntaining s	uch amend	lments must t	be referred to unde	er item 1 and ar	nnexed to this
6.	Add	litional observations, if neces	sary:					
١V	. Lac	k of unity of invention						
1.	In re	sponse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:						
		☐ restricted the claims.						
/	$\boxtimes$	paid additional fees.						
		paid additional fees under p	rotest.					
		neither restricted nor paid a	dditional	fees.				
2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.						
3.	3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13 is						3.2 and 13.3	
		complied with.						
	$\boxtimes$	not complied with for the fol	lowing re	easons:				
	see	separate sheet						
4.	Cor exa	onsequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary amination in establishing this report:						
,	$\boxtimes$	all parts.						
		the parts relating to claims I	Nos					
۷.	Rea cita	ısoned statement under Ar tions and explanations su	ticle 35( pporting	2) with reg	jard to noveli ement	ty, inventive step	or industrial a	applicability;
_1_	Sta	tement'			e to a tropping polynomic party to be an		1440	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
✓ Novelty (N)  ✓ Inventive step (IS)  ✓ Industrial applicability (IA)			Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-36			
			Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-36			
			Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-36			
2.	Cita	utions and explanations						

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/CA 03/01118

see separate sheet

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

# **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

### Re Item IV

# Lack of unity of invention

The present international application lacks unity for the following reasons:

The common concept between independent claims 1, 17 and 24 is a tubular solid oxide fuel cell assembly comprising:

- a tubular metallic porous support having sufficient porosity and strength to allow a reactant flow therethrough
- a tubular layer assembly supported thereon, this layer comprising concentrically
- I) an inner electrode layer
- ii) a middle electrolyte layer
- iii) an outer electrode layer

Such an entity being known from eg. WO 01/09968 (page 11, lines 1-34; page 14, lines 15-22; page 19, lines 14-17; Figure 5D) and US-A-6080501 (see column 3, lines 10-54), there is thus no special technical feature linking together the subject-matter of the above independent claims, which therefore lack unity.

This Authority thus considers that there are 2 inventions covered by the claims indicated as follows:

Invention 1: Claims 1-23, which define a tubular fuel cell assembly is supposed to solve the problem of having a functional layer (comprising an electrolyte layer sandwiched between two electrodes said functional layer) with a thin wall thickness with a simultaneous sufficient mechanical strength and porosity:

Invention 2: Claims 24-36 which define a method for producing a tubular fuel cell assembly supposed to solve the problem of reducing the manufacturing costs.

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO-A-0109968 D2: US-A-6080501 D3: WO 01/86030 A

#### First invention

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

Document D1 (claims 112, 114-120; Figures 2A-2C) discloses a tubular solid oxide fuel cell assembly comprising the features of the functional layer assembly defined 1. under paragraph (b) of present claim 1 with the exception that the thickness thereof is not explicitly disclosed.

D1 (page 19, lines 14-17) further discloses that the functional layer assembly may be disposed on a porous substrate, which is preferably an inexpensive metal having high strength (page 19, lines 4-6).

Thus D1 already solves the problem of providing a tubular fuel cell having high mechanical strength and porosity

D1 (claim 117) further discloses that the ceramic middle electrolyte layer (called "sintered coating in D1) has preferably a thickness of from 5 to 20 microns.

Thus the subject-matter of instant claim 1 distinguishes from D1 in that the thickness of the two electrode layers are not explicitly disclosed; however at page 19, line 11 and page 20, lines 5-6, D1 suggests to maintain the thickness thereof as thin as possible.

Thus, the thickness value presently claimed, ie. less than 80 microns is an arbitrary selected value that the skilled man faced with the problem of manufacturing a tubular fuel cell with the above indicated properties would at least try and thus arrive at the subject-matter claimed, which therefore lacks an inventive step over the content of D1 taken alone.

D2 (column 2, line 45 to column 3, line 62) also discloses a tubular fuel cell having high strength and porosity and comprising all the features of present claim 1 with the 2. exception that the thickness of the functional layer assembly is not disclosed.

However, it is clear that the skilled person faced with the problem of manufacturing such a tubular fuel cell will inevitably try to lower the costs of the materials employed as low as possible, ie. maintain the thickness of the said functional assembly as low as possible and thus inevitably fall within the cope of protection of present claim 1, which therefore also lacks an inventive step over D2 taken alone.

In view of the above paragraphs, claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Article 3. 33(3) PCT.

4. Dependent claims 2-23 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of Article 33 PCT, said features being either known from the above prior art or conventional in the field of fuel cells or within the competence of a skilled man seeking to improve the prior art fuel cells known from **D1 or D2**.

## Second invention

1. **D3**(claims 17-19) discloses a method of manufacturing a tubular solid oxide fuel cell according to the subject-matter of present claim 24, which the exception that the claims 17-19 do not disclose that the combustible substrate must be non-conductive.

Claim 9 of D3 however explicitly suggests to use organic or polymeric compounds which, according to the knowledge of the examining authority, cannot be generally classified as being conductive.

The subject-matter thus lacks novelty over D3.

The Applicant argued that D3 requires that the fibre core be conductive. This is acknowledged however D3 also teaches that when the fiber is non-conductive, it may be treated to render it conductive; this is exactly what is presently claimed in step (a) of claim 24.

Furthermore, even if the subject-matter claimed would be novel it is really within the competence of a skilled person face with the problem of reducing the costs of a manufacturing process to replace a cost--effective material by a cheaper one.

Thus claim 24 does not meet the requirements of Article 33 PCT.

2. Dependent claims 25-36 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of Article 33 PCT, said features being either known from the above prior art or conventional in the field of fuel cells or within the competence of a skilled man seeking to improve the prior art fuel cells known from **D1**, **D2 or D3**.

## Certain published documents

to 62.

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/CA 03/01118

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

Although the documents WO 03/069705 and WO 03/062503, cited in the International Search Report, do not constitute prior art for the purposes of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT, their content is of particular relevance (see in particular the passages cited in the search report) and may be opposed under novelty to the subject-matter claimed in the present international application in its regional (or national) phases.